

Existence Is Infinite

Moderators: AMod, iMod

Post Reply Search this topic... 731 posts 1 … 27 28 29 30 31 … 49

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:42 am

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sat Jan 18, 2025 1:51 pm
>
> Obviously the Universe, Itself, is NOT just ONE SOLID 'piece of matter'. Which therefore MEANS there IS EXISTING a 'distance', or a 'space' between and around 'matter', at the smallest of perspectives or levels, and at the largest of perspectives or levels, for example, there is a distance or space between 'quarks' and 'leptons', as well as between and around 'stars' and 'galaxies'.
>
> Now, this space, or distance, can be said or can be called an area of 'nothing', however, and obviously, 'this area of nothing' is ALSO A 'something'. It is JUST an area of apparent 'nothing' or 'no thing', which is in CONTRAST of an area of 'obvious matter'. But, and OBVIOUSLY, BOTH HAVE TO EXIST, or CO-EXIST WITH 'each other', FOR the Universe to BE 'the way' that it IS.
>
> And, BECAUSE the Universe IS IN 'this way' HERE-NOW, then the Universe HAS TO BE IN 'this way' ALWAYS, or ETERNALLY.
>
> Which, like WITH ALL of what I SAY and CLAIM, here, CAN BE and WILL BE PROVED, IRREFUTABLY, True, that is; IF absolutely ANY one IS Truly INTERESTED IN HAVING A FULLY OPEN and Honest DISCUSSION, here.

You are leaping from physicality, from materiality directly to nothingness, to nothing. You are discounting immateriality. Such an approach lacks gradient, it lacks subtlety, it lacks nuance and depth. One might as well take the position of something resulting from nothing.

This is philosophy, not physics.

Immateriality is part of what makes philosophy what philosophy is. The capacity, the liberty to explore beyond physics, to venture beyond the physical while incorporating such to gain a broader view of being. That's the beauty of philosophy.

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:46 am

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Jan 18, 2025 5:40 pm
>
> The contrast of matter, of materiality is immateriality. Not nothingness.

If the first sentence, here, is true, then it could be said and argued that:

The contrast of some thing, of something is nothing. So, nothingness.

And, if so-called 'materiality' is also, literally, known by and called 'some thing', or 'something', then the contrast of 'some thing' is 'no thing', and the contrast of 'something' is, literally, 'nothing', which is also known by and called as 'nothingness'.

That this Fact does NOT FIT IN WITH your 'current' BELIEF/S, here, has NOT 'ACTUAL BEARING' on what IS PROVABLE and IRREFUTABLY True, Right, Accurate, AND Correct, here.

Age
Posts: 26385
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:53 am

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:42 am
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sat Jan 18, 2025 1:51 pm
> >
> > Obviously the Universe, Itself, is NOT just ONE SOLID 'piece of matter'. Which therefore MEANS there IS EXISTING a 'distance', or a 'space' between and around 'matter', at the smallest of perspectives or levels, and at the largest of perspectives or levels, for example, there is a distance or space between 'quarks' and 'leptons', as well as between and around 'stars' and 'galaxies'.
> >
> > Now, this space, or distance, can be said or can be called an area of 'nothing', however, and obviously, 'this area of nothing' is ALSO A 'something'. It is JUST an area of apparent 'nothing' or 'no thing', which is in CONTRAST of an area of 'obvious matter'. But, and OBVIOUSLY, BOTH HAVE TO EXIST, or CO-EXIST WITH 'each other', FOR the Universe to BE 'the way' that it IS.
> >
> > And, BECAUSE the Universe IS IN 'this way' HERE-NOW, then the Universe HAS TO BE IN 'this way' ALWAYS, or ETERNALLY.
> >
> > Which, like WITH ALL of what I SAY and CLAIM, here, CAN BE and WILL BE PROVED, IRREFUTABLY, True, that is; IF absolutely ANY one IS Truly INTERESTED IN HAVING A FULLY OPEN and Honest DISCUSSION, here.
>
> You are leaping from physicality, from materiality directly to nothingness, to nothing.

Have you been LEAPING FROM 'material' DIRECTLY TO 'immateriality'?

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:42 am
>
> You are discounting immateriality.

LOL
LOL
LOL

This, here, is a PRIME example of WHY I KEEP SUGGESTING to these posters, here, to SEEK OUT and OBTAIN and GAIN ACTUAL CLARIFICATION BEFORE they ASSUME ABSOLUTELY ANY thing AT ALL.

I HAVE NEVER EVER DISCOUNTED so-called 'immateriality' AT ALL.

So, WHY DID you LEAP and JUMP TO SUCH AN ABSOLUTELY False AND Wrong CONCLUSION AS 'this' ONE IS?

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:42 am
>
> Such an approach lacks gradient, it lacks subtlety, it lacks nuance and depth. One might as well take the position of something resulting from nothing.

And, it is THIS KIND OF ABSOLUTELY SKEWED, ILLOGICAL, AND ABSURD VIEWS, which FOLLOW OF FROM False AND Wrong CONCLUSIONS.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:42 am
>
> This is philosophy, not physics.

Well CONSIDERING THE IRREFUTABLE Fact that you are the ONLY ONE who INTRODUCED 'this', here, what you are SAYING 'now' has ABSOLUTELY NOTHING AT ALL TO DO WITH 'me'.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:42 am
>
> Immateriality is part of what makes philosophy what philosophy is. The capacity, the liberty to explore beyond physics, to venture beyond the physical while incorporating such to gain a broader view of being. That's the beauty of philosophy.

What?

you have FORGOTTEN what you PREVIOUSLY BELIEVED IS TRUE, and which you WERE 'TRYING TO' ARGUE AND FIGHT FOR.

Age
Posts: 26385
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sun Jan 19, 2025 1:44 am

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:46 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Jan 18, 2025 5:40 pm
> >
> > The contrast of matter, of materiality is immateriality. Not nothingness.
>
> If the first sentence, here, is true, then it could be said and argued that:
>
> The contrast of some thing, of something is nothing. So, nothingness.
>
> And, if so-called 'materiality' is also, literally, known by and called 'some thing', or 'something', then the contrast of 'some thing' is 'no thing', and the contrast of 'something' is, literally, 'nothing', which is also known by and called as 'nothingness'.

Such could be said. Such wouldn't necessarily be accurate.

The sentence differentiated between two contrasting things or categories. Materiality and immateriality. Material things and immaterial things.

Nothing cannot contrast anything because nothing does not exist.

Conceptually, at most, nothing may contrast something but both are concepts, they are conceptual counterparts. Both are things, both are existence thus not actually counterparts. Nor are they actually nothing.

Existence is all there is. Nothing is not and cannot be.

This has already been discussed: viewtopic.php?p=653553#p653553

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Sun Jan 19, 2025 2:16 am

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 1:44 am
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:46 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Jan 18, 2025 5:40 pm
> > >
> > > The contrast of matter, of materiality is immateriality. Not nothingness.
> >
> > If the first sentence, here, is true, then it could be said and argued that:
> >
> > The contrast of some thing, of something is nothing. So, nothingness.
> >
> > And, if so-called 'materiality' is also, literally, known by and called 'some thing', or 'something', then the contrast of 'some thing' is 'no thing', and the contrast of 'something' is, literally, 'nothing', which is also known by and called as 'nothingness'.
>
> Such could be said. Such wouldn't necessarily be accurate.
>
> The sentence differentiated between two contrasting things or categories. Materiality and immateriality. Material things and immaterial things.
>
> Nothing cannot contrast anything because nothing does not exist.

LOL

you KEEP ON RE-REPEATING that 'nothing does not exist', ALTHOUGH I HAVE NEVER EVER SAID that 'nothing does exist'.

you KEEP 'TRYING TO' ARGUE AGAINST ONLY what you ARE SAYING, ALONE.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 1:44 am
>
> Conceptually, at most, nothing may contrast something but both are concepts, they are conceptual counterparts. Both are things, both are existence thus not actually counterparts. Nor are they actually nothing.
>
> Existence is all there is. Nothing is not and cannot be.

So, to 'daniel j lavender' anyway, there is NO 'matter', as 'existence' is ALL there is.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 1:44 am
>
> This has already been discussed: viewtopic.php?p=653553#p653553

LOL you, STILL, ARE FIGHTING AGAINST ABSOLUTELY NOTHING that I HAVE SAID and MEANT.

LOL you are, literally, here, FIGHTING AGAINST your OWN words, ALONE.

Age
Posts: 26385
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Sun Jan 19, 2025 2:24 am

Existence, like Life, like the Universe are infinite AND eternal.

The Universe, fundamentally, is made up of 'matter' AND 'space', which BOTH exist, and which BOTH have 'co-existed' ETERNALLY.

'Existence', Itself, is JUST the 'co-existence' of BOTH 'matter' AND 'space', which TOGETHER are the Universe. The Universe IS ALWAYS EXISTING and/or thus IN Existence, Itself. The Universe is ALSO ALIVE, and thus Life, Itself, which ALSO IS infinite AND eternal.

The Universe, Itself, like Life, Itself, and like Existence, Itself, are infinite AND eternal.

If there is ABSOLUTELY ANY thing that is HARD or COMPLEX to COMPREHEND and UNDERSTAND, then I, REALLY, am COMPLETELY NOT SURE what that IS NOR COULD BE, AT ALL.

Age
Posts: 26385
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:11 am
>
> Okay. And, what does this 'space', [volume, area, or a region of existence], consist of, exactly, and/or made up of, EXACTLY?

Space can be considered physical or immaterial depending on what is being discussed.

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:11 am
>
> Also, and by the way, you may NOT YET HAVE NOTICED but what 'we' HAD ALREADY DISCUSSED, in those links what NOT 'material space' NOR 'immaterial space' AT ALL. What 'we' ACTUALLY DISCUSSED, in those posts, was 'immaterial expanse', INSTEAD.

Immaterial space and immaterial expanse are synonymous terms. Space and expanse are synonymous.

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:11 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Jan 18, 2025 4:59 pm
> >
> > > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sat Jan 18, 2025 1:30 pm
> > >
> > > So, to 'daniel j lavender', anyway, the WHOLE INFINITE Universe is made up of ONE, infinitely compressed, piece of material, or matter, ONLY. Or, in other words, OF ONE 'something', ALONE.
> >
> > No.
>
> Well this ABSOLUTELY CONTRADICTS what you have STATED and CLAIMED, here, PREVIOUSLY.

False. You cannot provide any statement supporting your claim.

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:11 am
>
> So, 'now', what are these, supposed, DIFFERENT 'various elements', EXACTLY, AND, what are the, supposed, DIFFERENT 'various components', EXACTLY?

Elements and components are used as synonymous terms.

To start we could list material things and immaterial things.

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:11 am
>
> And, HOW are 'they' ALL SEPARATED FROM 'each other', EXACTLY? Namely, what IS the ACTUAL 'thing', itself, which SEPARATES ALL OF 'these things' FROM 'each other'.

In a sense all things are connected. However things can be differentiated by their perceived properties or qualities.

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:36 am
>
> Now, AGAIN, if what you are SAYING and CLAIMING, here, WAS TRUE, then the WHOLE AREA, or the WHOLE EXPANSE, OF THE UNIVERSE IS OF SOME 'thing', and NOT 'NO thing'. Which, AGAIN, MEANS that the WHOLE EXPANSE and WHOLE AREA OF THE UNIVERSE IS OF ONE 'thing' ONLY, WITH ABSOLUTELY NO ACTUAL 'space' ANYWHERE.

The whole is existence. The whole is not "of some thing". Whole is comprised of multiple parts or components. Space, volume, area, materiality and immateriality are present.

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:36 am
>
> YES, 'we' CAN CONSIDER the areas of NO 'matter' AT ALL 'empty'. And, what are 'those areas' EMPTY OF, EXACTLY?
>
> And, if 'those areas' are EMPTY OF 'matter', which IS OBVIOUSLY 'some thing', then 'those areas' may be considered 'free of matter', or 'free of some thing', which OBVIOUSLY CORRELATES TO 'areas of NO thing'. Or, what some may consider 'areas of A nothingness'.

The immaterial space would be immaterial space, space free of matter or material composition. That would be a thing. Not no thing.

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:58 am

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:11 am
> >
> > Okay. And, what does this 'space', [volume, area, or a region of existence], consist of, exactly, and/or made up of, EXACTLY?
>
> Space can be considered physical or immaterial depending on what is being discussed.

WHO CARES?

'Space' can ALSO be considered other things, depending on what is being discussed, AS WELL.

I ASKED you, What does this 'space' consist of, EXACTLY, and/or IS MADE UP OF, EXACTLY?

Let 'us' NOT FORGET that 'we' WERE talking ABOUT 'this space'.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:11 am
> >
> > Also, and by the way, you may NOT YET HAVE NOTICED but what 'we' HAD ALREADY DISCUSSED, in those links what NOT 'material space' NOR 'immaterial space' AT ALL. What 'we' ACTUALLY DISCUSSED, in those posts, was 'immaterial expanse', INSTEAD.
>
> Immaterial space and immaterial expanse are synonymous terms. Space and expanse are synonymous.

GREAT. So, what IS 'space' or 'expanse' MADE UP OF, EXACTLY?

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:11 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Jan 18, 2025 4:59 pm
> > >
> > > No.
> >
> > Well this ABSOLUTELY CONTRADICTS what you have STATED and CLAIMED, here, PREVIOUSLY.
>
> False. You cannot provide any statement supporting your claim.

WHY do you BELIEVE, ABSOLUTELY, that I CAN NOT provide ANY statement of yours, supporting my CLAIM?

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:11 am
> >
> > So, 'now', what are these, supposed, DIFFERENT 'various elements', EXACTLY, AND, what are the, supposed, DIFFERENT 'various components', EXACTLY?
>
> Elements and components are used as synonymous terms.
>
> To start we could list material things and immaterial things.

GREAT. Will you EVER START LISTING the VERY things that I ASKED you TO?

If no, then WHY NOT?

But, if yes, then WHEN will you, exactly?

you STALLING, here, is NOT HELPING you in ANY WAY, AT ALL.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:11 am
> >
> > And, HOW are 'they' ALL SEPARATED FROM 'each other', EXACTLY? Namely, what IS the ACTUAL 'thing', itself, which SEPARATES ALL OF 'these things' FROM 'each other'.
>
> In a sense all things are connected.

YES, IN A SENSE they ARE.

But, UNTIL you ALSO KNOW HOW, EXACTLY, AND, ALSO KNOW HOW they are SEPARATED AS WELL, you are NOT ACTUALLY MOVING FORWARD and PROCEEDING, here.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am
>
> However things can be differentiated by their perceived properties or qualities.

Like 'what', EXACTLY?

REMEMBER you are 'TRYING TO' CLAIM that what you BELIEVE, here, IS TRUE and RIGHT. So, AGAIN, I WILL SUGGEST that BEFORE you come here and 'TRY TO' CLAIM things, you OBTAIN ACTUAL PROOF and KNOWLEDGE that WILL BACK up and support what you BELIEVE TO BE ABSOLUTELY TRUE.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:36 am
> >
> > Now, AGAIN, if what you are SAYING and CLAIMING, here, WAS TRUE, then the WHOLE AREA, or the WHOLE EXPANSE, OF THE UNIVERSE IS OF SOME 'thing', and NOT 'NO thing'. Which, AGAIN, MEANS that the WHOLE EXPANSE and WHOLE AREA OF THE UNIVERSE IS OF ONE 'thing' ONLY, WITH ABSOLUTELY NO ACTUAL 'space' ANYWHERE.
>
> The whole is existence. The whole is not "of some thing".

So, now AGAIN, you want TO CLAIM that 'the whole', (of the Universe, for example), is NOT of 'some thing'.

Because 'the whole' can OBVIOUSLY being REFERRING TO the Universe, Itself. And, if you do NOT WANT TO RESPOND TO the ACTUAL WORDS that I SAY and USE, here, then 'you' are, literally, ON your OWN, here.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am
>
> Whole is comprised of multiple parts or components.

The WHOLE OF 'what', EXACTLY?

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am
>
> Space, volume, area, materiality and immateriality are present.

WHERE and WHEN, EXACTLY?

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:36 am
> >
> > YES, 'we' CAN CONSIDER the areas of NO 'matter' AT ALL 'empty'. And, what are 'those areas' EMPTY OF, EXACTLY?
> >
> > And, if 'those areas' are EMPTY OF 'matter', which IS OBVIOUSLY 'some thing', then 'those areas' may be considered 'free of matter', or 'free of some thing', which OBVIOUSLY CORRELATES TO 'areas of NO thing'. Or, what some may consider 'areas of A nothingness'.
>
> The immaterial space would be immaterial space, space free of matter or material composition.

GREAT. So, you DO AGREE WITH what I have been SAYING, and CLAIMING, here.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am
>
> That would be a thing. Not no thing.

What would be a 'thing', EXACTLY?

Also, HOW do you KNOW, FOR IRREFUTABLY SURE, that there EXISTS so-called 'immaterial space', EXACTLY?

Age
Posts: 26385
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sun Jan 19, 2025 5:49 am

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 2:16 am
>
> So, to 'daniel j lavender' anyway, there is NO 'matter', as 'existence' is ALL there is.

Existence includes matter. Matter is part of existence as conveyed in the original essay.

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 2:24 am
>
> Existence, like Life, like the Universe are infinite AND eternal.
>
> The Universe, fundamentally, is made up of 'matter' AND 'space', which BOTH exist, and which BOTH have 'co-existed' ETERNALLY.
>
> 'Existence', Itself, is JUST the 'co-existence' of BOTH 'matter' AND 'space', which TOGETHER are the Universe. The Universe IS ALWAYS EXISTING and/or thus IN Existence, Itself. The Universe is ALSO ALIVE, and thus Life, Itself, which ALSO IS infinite AND eternal.
>
> The Universe, Itself, like Life, Itself, and like Existence, Itself, are infinite AND eternal.
>
> If there is ABSOLUTELY ANY thing that is HARD or COMPLEX to COMPREHEND and UNDERSTAND, then I, REALLY, am COMPLETELY NOT SURE what that IS NOR COULD BE, AT ALL.

This is fairly accurate.

However life is not necessarily infinite. A soda can is not life, for example. Nor is the universe necessarily infinite or eternal.

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sun Jan 19, 2025 6:39 am

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:58 am
>
> I ASKED you, What does this 'space' consist of, EXACTLY, and/or IS MADE UP OF, EXACTLY?

Physical space, or a physical volume, or a physical object consists of atoms, molecules, etcetera. Physical or material is tangible.

Immaterial space, or an immaterial volume is immaterial or nonphysical and lacks physical components such as atoms. Immaterial space does not consist of parts in the same way. Immaterial is intangible.

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:58 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am
> >
> > > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 12:11 am
> > >
> > > Well this ABSOLUTELY CONTRADICTS what you have STATED and CLAIMED, here, PREVIOUSLY.
> >
> > False. You cannot provide any statement supporting your claim.
>
> WHY do you BELIEVE, ABSOLUTELY, that I CAN NOT provide ANY statement of yours, supporting my CLAIM?

Because you have not and will not.

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:58 am
>
> Also, HOW do you KNOW, FOR IRREFUTABLY SURE, that there EXISTS so-called 'immaterial space', EXACTLY?

It is perceived. It is discussed here in conversation. It's apparent in the density variance of things. Air is less dense than quartz. Different objects, different regions of existence exhibit various densities due to various amounts of immaterial space.

It's observed in the distance among planetary and celestial bodies. It can be observed in the motion, in the interactions of things. Some things glide elegantly, effortlessly through low density air. Others dig violently into unforgiving, dense terrain.

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Sun Jan 19, 2025 1:25 pm

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 5:49 am
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 2:16 am
> >
> > So, to 'daniel j lavender' anyway, there is NO 'matter', as 'existence' is ALL there is.
>
> Existence includes matter. Matter is part of existence as conveyed in the original essay.
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 2:24 am
> >
> > Existence, like Life, like the Universe are infinite AND eternal.
> >
> > The Universe, fundamentally, is made up of 'matter' AND 'space', which BOTH exist, and which BOTH have 'co-existed' ETERNALLY.
> >
> > 'Existence', Itself, is JUST the 'co-existence' of BOTH 'matter' AND 'space', which TOGETHER are the Universe. The Universe IS ALWAYS EXISTING and/or thus IN Existence, Itself. The Universe is ALSO ALIVE, and thus Life, Itself, which ALSO IS infinite AND eternal.
> >
> > The Universe, Itself, like Life, Itself, and like Existence, Itself, are infinite AND eternal.
> >
> > If there is ABSOLUTELY ANY thing that is HARD or COMPLEX to COMPREHEND and UNDERSTAND, then I, REALLY, am COMPLETELY NOT SURE what that IS NOR COULD BE, AT ALL.
>
> This is fairly accurate.
>
> However life is not necessarily infinite. A soda can is not life, for example. Nor is the universe necessarily infinite or eternal.

ONCE AGAIN, the Universe, Itself, and Life, Itself, are infinite AND eternal. This has ALREADY BEEN PROVED True, and therefore can NOT be refuted.

A soda can, like EVERY thing ELSE is ALIVE, and LIVING, and therefore IS 'life', you human beings tend to ONLY 'look at' and 'see' things from a VERY NARROWED perspective and so do NOT 'see' things for what they REALLY ARE.

And, ONCE MORE, if ABSOLUTELY ANY one is Truly INTERESTED, here, and would like to have A DISCUSSION, then let 'us' PROCEED.

Age
Posts: 26385
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Sun Jan 19, 2025 1:47 pm

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 6:39 am
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:58 am
> >
> > I ASKED you, What does this 'space' consist of, EXACTLY, and/or IS MADE UP OF, EXACTLY?
>
> Physical space, or a physical volume, or a physical object consists of atoms, molecules, etcetera. Physical or material is tangible.

And, what are 'atoms' and 'molecules', and whatever the 'etcetera' word is referring to, MADE UP OF, EXACTLY?

And, is so-called 'physical space' MADE UP OF the EXACT SAME 'thing' that ALL 'physicality' is MADE UP OF?

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 6:39 am
>
> Immaterial space, or an immaterial volume is immaterial or nonphysical and lacks physical components such as atoms. Immaterial space does not consist of parts in the same way. Immaterial is intangible.

Are you AWARE of just HOW RIDICULOUS you are BEING, here?

POINT TO, with words, or LIST, WHERE, EXACTLY, there IS 'space' that is 'physical' AND WHERE there IS 'space' that is NOT 'physical'.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 6:39 am
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:58 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:14 am
> > >
> > > False. You cannot provide any statement supporting your claim.
> >
> > WHY do you BELIEVE, ABSOLUTELY, that I CAN NOT provide ANY statement of yours, supporting my CLAIM?
>
> Because you have not and will not.

LOL What 'we' have, here, is ANOTHER PRIME example of HOW and WHERE when one BELIEVES some thing is true, they are NOT OPEN to ANY thing CONTRARY nor OPPOSING.

OBVIOUSLY I HAVE NOT, but 'this' NEVER EVER MEANS that I CAN NOT NOR WILL NOT.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 6:39 am
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:58 am
> >
> > Also, HOW do you KNOW, FOR IRREFUTABLY SURE, that there EXISTS so-called 'immaterial space', EXACTLY?
>
> It is perceived.

LOL you human beings used to PERCEIVE the world is flat, the earth is at the center of the Universe, the sun revolves around the earth, the Universe began, and the Universe expands. What is PERCEIVED is NEVER EVER necessarily Truly True, Right, Accurate, NOR Correct.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 6:39 am
>
> It is discussed here in conversation.

Are you some kind of ABSOLUTE IDIOT?

I ASKED you, HOW do you KNOW, FOR IRREFUTABLY SURE, ABOUT some thing, and you respond WITH, 'it is perceived', and, 'it is discussed here in conversation'.

FOR your INFORMATION, JUST BECAUSE some thing is perceived and/or discussed, anywhere, here, NEVER EVER means that 'that thing' EXISTS NOR IS TRUE.

For example God IS PERCEIVED TO BE A 'man', and, God IS A 'man' IS DISCUSSED. So, to you, does this MEAN, and MAKE, God A 'man', for example?

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 6:39 am
>
> It's apparent in the density variance of things. Air is less dense than quartz. Different objects, different regions of existence exhibit various densities due to various amounts of immaterial space.

SO WHAT?

WHERE IS THE IRREFUTABLE PROOF that 'space', itself, IS IMMATERIAL?

you REALLY DO HAVE A LOT OF TROUBLE JUST ANSWERING and CLARIFYING the ACTUAL CLARIFYING QUESTIONS THAT I ASK you, here.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 6:39 am
>
> It's observed in the distance among planetary and celestial bodies.

The earth is OBSERVED to be flat. The sun is OBSERVED to be going around the earth. So, does this MEAN that what IS OBSERVED is ACTUALLY True AND Right, and EVEN IRREFUTABLE?

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 6:39 am
>
> It can be observed in the motion, in the interactions of things.

AGAIN, so what you OBSERVE MUST BE TRUE, RIGHT, ACCURATE, and CORRECT, correct?

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 6:39 am
>
> Some things glide elegantly, effortlessly through low density air. Others dig violently into unforgiving, dense terrain.

you appear to have NOT understood the ACTUAL CLARIFYING QUESTION THAT I ASKED you, above here. Here, I WILL REPEAT it FOR you.

HOW do you KNOW, FOR IRREFUTABLY SURE, that there EXISTS so-called 'immaterial space', EXACTLY?

Or, in other words, do you have ANY ACTUAL PROOF that 'space' IS 'immaterial'?

If yes, then GREAT, WILL you PROVIDE 'that proof', here, in this thread?

Also, and while 'we' are DISCUSSING 'space', itself. HOW do you DIFFERENTIATE between what you call 'material space' AND 'immaterial space', EXACTLY?

And, WILL you PROVIDE ACTUAL examples of the so-claimed DIFFERENT 'spaces', and EXPLAIN WHERE the so-claimed DIFFERENT 'spaces' ARE, EXACTLY?

If no, then WHY NOT?

Age
Posts: 26385
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sun Jan 19, 2025 3:33 pm

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 1:25 pm
>
> > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 5:49 am
> >
> > > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 2:16 am
> > >
> > > So, to 'daniel j lavender' anyway, there is NO 'matter', as 'existence' is ALL there is.
> >
> > Existence includes matter. Matter is part of existence as conveyed in the original essay.
> >
> > > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 2:24 am
> > >
> > > Existence, like Life, like the Universe are infinite AND eternal.
> > >
> > > The Universe, fundamentally, is made up of 'matter' AND 'space', which BOTH exist, and which BOTH have 'co-existed' ETERNALLY.
> > >
> > > 'Existence', Itself, is JUST the 'co-existence' of BOTH 'matter' AND 'space', which TOGETHER are the Universe. The Universe IS ALWAYS EXISTING and/or thus IN Existence, Itself. The Universe is ALSO ALIVE, and thus Life, Itself, which ALSO IS infinite AND eternal.
> > >
> > > The Universe, Itself, like Life, Itself, and like Existence, Itself, are infinite AND eternal.
> > >
> > > If there is ABSOLUTELY ANY thing that is HARD or COMPLEX to COMPREHEND and UNDERSTAND, then I, REALLY, am COMPLETELY NOT SURE what that IS NOR COULD BE, AT ALL.
> >
> > This is fairly accurate.
> >
> > However life is not necessarily infinite. A soda can is not life, for example. Nor is the universe necessarily infinite or eternal.
>
> ONCE AGAIN, the Universe, Itself, and Life, Itself, are infinite AND eternal. This has ALREADY BEEN PROVED True, and therefore can NOT be refuted.

Where has this been proven true? How has this been proven true?

It's difficult discussing these subjects with you because you do not explicitly define terms.

What is the universe? What is life?

The universe is simply a system. Similar to a video game universe. Like Super Mario 64. A developer could exist beyond the system as a developer exists beyond the video game console. Existence allows and encompasses such an arrangement. The limited term "universe" does not.

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 1:25 pm
>
> A soda can, like EVERY thing ELSE is ALIVE, and LIVING, and therefore IS 'life', you human beings tend to ONLY 'look at' and 'see' things from a VERY NARROWED perspective and so do NOT 'see' things for what they REALLY ARE.

A soda can exhibits no vital signs or characteristics of a living organism.

A fingernail is part of a living being yet the fingernail is not alive.

To claim the universe is alive does not reconcile this issue. Life is not infinite. This is apparent by the presence of death.

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 1:47 pm
>
> > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 6:39 am
> >
> > > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 4:58 am
> > >
> > > I ASKED you, What does this 'space' consist of, EXACTLY, and/or IS MADE UP OF, EXACTLY?
> >
> > Physical space, or a physical volume, or a physical object consists of atoms, molecules, etcetera. Physical or material is tangible.
>
> And, what are 'atoms' and 'molecules', and whatever the 'etcetera' word is referring to, MADE UP OF, EXACTLY?

Molecules are made of atoms. Atoms are comprised of protons, electrons and neutrons. One may continue dissecting. There is no point at which nonexistence is reached. Existence is not limited due to limitations of an observer.

> **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 1:47 pm
>
> > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 6:39 am
> >
> > Immaterial space, or an immaterial volume is immaterial or nonphysical and lacks physical components such as atoms. Immaterial space does not consist of parts in the same way. Immaterial is intangible.
>
> POINT TO, with words, or LIST, WHERE, EXACTLY, there IS 'space' that is 'physical' AND WHERE there IS 'space' that is NOT 'physical'.

Physical space: an ocean. Immaterial space: outer space.

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Mon Jan 20, 2025 5:23 am

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 3:33 pm
>
> > **Age wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 1:25 pm
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 5:49 am
> > >
> > > Existence includes matter. Matter is part of existence as conveyed in the original essay.
> > >
> > > This is fairly accurate.
> > >
> > > However life is not necessarily infinite. A soda can is not life, for example. Nor is the universe necessarily infinite or eternal.
> >
> > ONCE AGAIN, the Universe, Itself, and Life, Itself, are infinite AND eternal. This has ALREADY BEEN PROVED True, and therefore can NOT be refuted.
>
> Where has this been proven true? How has this been proven true?

In 'causality', or 'cause and effect'. It is IMPOSSIBLE for ANY thing to come FROM NO thing, or FROM nothing. Even you keep TELLING 'us' that 'nothing', 'nothingness', or 'no thing' does NOT even exist. Therefore, there WAS and IS ALWAYS some thing PRIOR, or BEFORE, EVERY other thing. Therefore, the Universe, which is ALIVE, and thus 'Life', Itself, which is EXISTING, MEANS that the Universe, Itself, like Life, Itself, and like Existence, Itself, are eternal.

For when one 'looks out', as far as one can SEE, it is IMPOSSIBLE for there to be ANY ACTUAL 'boundary' or 'edge' at ANY 'distance' AT ALL. In fact if one was to imagine a line between the most other perceived planets, stars, or other objects, then what is obviously between and around those objects is 'space', itself. Which;

1. Obviously does NOT have ANY 'edge' NOR 'boundary' to 'it' (besides of course the particles or objects of 'matter', themselves. So, forever extending IS 'space', itself.

2. Because light diminishes over distance, even if one could see the perceived the out most stars, planets, or other objects, then that is NOT known to be the 'edge' NOR 'boundary' of the Universe, Itself. The Universe IS therefore infinite, AS WELL AS eternal.

And, because the 'Universe', Itself, is infinite, and ALIVE, and EXISTING, then so to is 'Life', Itself, AND 'Existence', Itself, ALSO infinite.

Now, if these are NOT 'proof', to you, then WHY NOT, EXACTLY?

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 3:33 pm
>
> It's difficult discussing these subjects with you because you do not explicitly define terms.

Have you EVER ASKED me for defined terms or even EXPLICITLY DEFINED terms?

If no, then WHY NOT?

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 3:33 pm
>
> What is the universe? What is life?

FINALLY. To me,

The word 'Universe' refers to, *'Everything'; 'TOTALITY'; 'ALL-THERE-IS'*

The word 'Life' refers to, *'living; being alive'*.

In fact, 'The meaning of life', LITERALLY IS and MEANS, *'living; being alive'*.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 3:33 pm
>
> The universe is simply a system.

LOL you criticize your discussions, with me, because I, supposedly, do NOT explicitly define terms, EVEN WHEN NEVER ASKED TO. THEN you ASKED me what is the universe, and what is life, but THEN STRAIGHTAWAY you go and TELL 'us' what the universe is.

OBVIOUSLY you DID NOT WANT TO HEAR my 'defined terms', anyway.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 3:33 pm
>
> Similar to a video game universe. Like Super Mario 64. A developer could exist beyond the system as a developer exists beyond the video game console.

BUT, NOT WHEN the Universe IS, and MEANS, 'ALL' AND 'Everything'.

Also, human beings COULD BE living within a 'computer game', which was developed and Created by some 'one/thing' ELSE. HOWEVER, 'this', STILL, ALL PLAYS OUT WITHIN the Universe, Itself, OBVIOUSLY.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 3:33 pm
>
> Existence allows and encompasses such an arrangement.

So, to does the Fact that the word 'Universe', literally MEANS, ALL 'things', as the TOTALITY OF EVERY 'thing', and OF ALL-there-is, as One Thing. The word 'Uni' REFERRING TO One. Meaning the Universe IS the One 'Everything', when and after EVERY 'thing' has, literally, come-together, as One.

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Jan 19, 2025 3:33 pm
>
> The limited term "universe" does not.

Since when has the term 'Universe' EVER BEEN 'limited'. And, WHY do you SEE that term as BEING 'limited'.

By the way, I AGREE, WHOLEHEARTEDLY, that the term 'universe' IS 'limited'. But, the term 'Universe' is CERTAINLY NOT 'limited' AT ALL NOR IN ABSOLUTELY ANY WAY WHATSOEVER.